

- Lee, J., and Wang Feng. 1999. *One Quarter of Humanity: Malthusian Mythology and Chinese Realities, 1700–2000*. Cambridge, Eng.: Harvard University Press.
- Livi Bacci, M. 2001. *The Population of Europe: A History*, 3rd edition. Oxford, Eng.: Blackwell Publishers.
- McNeil, W. 1976. *Plagues and Peoples*. Garden City, NY: Anchor Press.
- Mosk, C., and S. Johansson. 1986. "Income and Mortality: Evidence from Modern Japan." *Population and Development Review* 12: 415–440.
- Noymer, A., and M. Garenne. 2000. "The 1918 Influenza Epidemic's Effects on Sex Differentials in Mortality in the United States." *Population and Development Review* 26: 565–581.
- Omran, A. 1971. "The Epidemiologic Transition: A Theory of the Epidemiology of Population Change." *Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly* 49: 509–538.
- Palloni, A. 1988. "On the Role of Crises in Historical Perspective: An Exchange." *Population and Development Review* 14: 145–158.
- Preston, S. 1976. *Mortality Patterns in National Populations*. New York: Academic Press.
- Preston, S., N. Keyfitz, and R. Schoen. 1972. *Causes of Death: Life Tables for National Populations*. New York: Seminar Press.
- Riley, J. 2001. *Rising Life Expectancy: A Global History*. Cambridge, Eng.: University of Cambridge Press.
- Scott, S., and C. Duncan. 1998. *Human Demography and Disease*. Cambridge, Eng.: Cambridge University Press.
- Spielman, A., and M. D'Antonio. 2000. *A Natural History of Our Most Persistent and Deadly Foe*. London: Hyperion.
- Timæus, I. 1997. "Mortality in Sub-Saharan Africa." In *United Nations Symposium on Health and Mortality*. Brussels: United Nations.
- United Nations (UNAIDS and WHO). 1998. *Report on the Global HIV/AIDS Epidemic*. Geneva: United Nations.
- Watts, S. 1997. *Epidemics and History: Disease, Power, and Imperialism*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

- Wrigley, E. A., and R. Schofield. 1981. *The Population History of England 1541–1871: A Reconstruction*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

S. RYAN JOHANSSON

EPIDEMIOLOGICAL TRANSITION

The term *epidemiological transition* refers to the shift in cause-of-death patterns that comes with the overall decline of death rates. In European countries the fall in death rates, which began after the middle of the eighteenth century, came about because of a decline in infectious disease mortality (chiefly from cholera and tuberculosis). The victory over infectious diseases allowed people to live longer and hence to develop the chronic degenerative diseases that became the main causes of death during the twentieth century: heart disease, cardiovascular disease, and malignant tumors.

Before the eighteenth century the epidemiological pattern was far from stable but the shifts that occurred had no significant effect on the level of mortality: Some infectious diseases diminished in lethality, but other diseases replaced them. In the 1960s it was thought that increases in life expectancy in the most advanced countries were nearing completion, but from the 1970s a major decline in cardiovascular disease allowed new progress. (The fall of cardiovascular mortality began earlier in a number of countries—dating back to at least 1925 in France.) Under the double effect of the continuation of the decline in infectious disease mortality, now largely eliminated, and the decline in cardiovascular mortality, it is the weight of mortality due to cancers that has been increasing.

The epidemiological transition is one component of a series of concurrent changes in population health. Running parallel to it is a functional component, referring to change in functional health status of the population (that is, abilities and disabilities), and a gerontological component, referring to the increasing proportion of the old and very old age groups in the population, with their distinctive health problems. The term *health transition* is used to describe these various components in combination.

A Theory of Epidemiology of Population Change

The characterization of long-run changes in cause of death as an epidemiological transition was first made by the public-health physician Abdel R. Omran in 1971 in a paper that became a classic in the literature of public health. "During the transition," Omran wrote, "a long shift occurs in mortality and disease patterns whereby pandemics of infection are gradually displaced by degenerative and man-made diseases as the chief form of morbidity and primary cause of death" (Omran, p. 516). He distinguished three stages:

1. The stage before the transition, "*The Age of Pestilence and Famine* when mortality is high and fluctuating, thus precluding sustained population growth" (Omran, p. 516). Average life expectancy at birth is low and variable, in the range of 20 to 40 years.
2. The transitional stage, "*The Age of Receding Pandemics* when mortality declines progressively and the rate of decline accelerates as epidemic peaks become less frequent or disappear. The average life expectancy at birth increases steadily from about 30 to 50 years. Population growth is sustained and begins to describe an exponential curve" (Omran, p. 517).
3. The stage after the transition, "*The Age of Degenerative and Man-Made Diseases* when mortality continues to decline and eventually approaches stability at a relatively low level. The average life expectancy at birth rises gradually until it exceeds 50 years. It is during that stage that fertility becomes the crucial factor in population growth" (Omran, p. 517).

Omran proposed three basic patterns of epidemiological transition: the classical (Western) pattern, the accelerated pattern (represented by Japan), and the contemporary or delayed pattern followed by most developing countries in Latin America, Africa, and Asia. He argued that the reduction of mortality during the nineteenth century in Western countries was determined primarily by ecobiologic and socioeconomic factors, the influence of medical factors being largely inadvertent until the twentieth century.

What should be retained from this schematic picture formulated in the early 1970s? Not a lot, ac-

cording to the demographer John C. Caldwell. In 2001 Caldwell wrote, "What happened in the mortality transition was the conquest of infectious disease, not a mysterious displacement of infection by degeneration as the cause of death. The resulting demographic transition with its changing age of death and the existence of large numbers of people afflicted with chronic degenerative disease (rather than life-threatening infectious disease) is important for planning health services and medical training, which is the current focus of the burden of disease approach" (p. 159). Other criticisms of Omran's account are that he suggested that the mortality decline would stop during the Age of Degenerative and Man-Made Diseases and that the epidemiological transition is universal, even if delayed for less-developed countries.

A Fourth Stage of the Transition

In a later contribution to the subject, S. Jay Olshansky and A. Brian Ault described the third stage of the transition as a plateau in epidemiological history where mortality once again attains an equilibrium, with a life expectancy at birth reaching into the 70s. This value was believed in the 1970s to be close to the biological limit to the average length of human life. As Olshansky and Ault noted, however, a few years prior to the publication of Omran's theory, the United States and other Western nations began to experience a rapid decline in death rates, mainly due to a decline in mortality from cardiovascular disease. To take into account this unexpected change, Olshansky and Ault proposed adding a fourth stage to the transition, the Age of Delayed Degenerative Diseases. During this stage the ages at death increase because the decline in mortality is concentrated at advanced ages. The age pattern of mortality by cause of death remains largely the same as in the third stage, but the age distribution of deaths from degenerative causes shifts progressively toward older ages. Such a transition is likely to have a significant effect on the size of the population at advanced ages and on the health and the vitality of the elderly. All sections of the elderly population grow markedly, particularly the numbers of the oldest old. (A critical question raised by such a development is whether declining mortality at advanced ages will result in additional years of health or additional years of senility.)

How long can this fourth stage of the epidemiological transition last? Olshansky and Ault inquired

whether more debilitating conditions would replace heart disease and cancer as the main killers or whether people would die a non-disease-related "natural death" as James Fries suggested in 1980. But Olshansky and Ault contended that the shift to the fourth stage is the last of the transitions, given the likelihood that the human lifespan is finite.

The Cardiovascular Revolution

During the fourth stage proposed by Olshansky and Ault, the cause-of-death pattern continues to be modified because deaths are postponed toward older ages and the relative incidence of degenerative causes of death, cardiovascular diseases, and cancers varies by age. Thus the concept of a distinct fourth stage being added to Omran's three stages is debatable. An alternative description would show a lengthened third stage characterized by shifting proportions of degenerative and human-made diseases, thus preserving a pattern of epidemiological transition with three "ages." According to France Meslé and Jacques Vallin, however, this would not take into account the major epidemiological change represented by the "cardiovascular revolution." These authors divide the transitional stage into a first phase characterized by the decline in the infectious diseases and a second phase led by the decline in cardiovascular diseases, with possible additional phases to come. The study of mortality levels and cause-of-death patterns are of little practical help in assessing exact dates for the change from Omran's second stage to his third stage (around the 1960s) and even less for dating the change from Omran's third stage to the Olshansky and Ault's fourth stage (around the 1970s). The number of years separating the second and the fourth stages appears to vary across countries. But in reality the cause-of-death pattern exhibits a more or less smooth modification over time rather than discontinuous change.

The Dispersion of Individual Lifespans

According to Jean-Marie Robine, the study of the dispersion of individual lifespans provides support for the existence of only three stages:

1. The reference stage that precedes the fall in mortality—Omran's Age of Pestilence and Famine—which came to an end during the eighteenth or nineteenth centuries, depending on the country.
2. A first stage of transition, when the level of

mortality fell and tended to stabilize as a consequence of the decline in infectious diseases affecting mainly children, resulting in a very large reduction in the disparities of individual lifespans around the mode. This Age of Receding Pandemics came to an end in the 1950s in the countries that had gone furthest in the transition, such as northern and western Europe, North America, and Japan.

3. A new stage of transition (represented by these same regions) in which the mortality decline at adult ages, including the very old, becomes relatively larger than at younger ages and where the increase in life expectancy is no longer associated with a significant reduction in the dispersion of individual lifespans.

This new stage corresponds less to Omran's third stage—which in the early twenty-first century appears to have a weak empirical foundation—and more to the fourth stage proposed by Olshansky and Ault. It could be labeled the Age of the Conquest of the Extent of Life. This is the age when humans, having finally been liberated from the great epidemics, are increasingly able to experience the full extent of the potential duration of life. This stage too may eventually come to an end, perhaps to be succeeded by a further stage. Whether this will be the Age of Limits or something else is not known. But at present, in exploring their potential longevity, humans are making unexpected discoveries—such as finding that it is possible to live well beyond 100 years.

Deviations from the Epidemiological Transition

For a period after World War II, all developing countries seemed to be moving through an epidemiological transition; since the 1960s, that was no longer the case. Some countries, most notably those of eastern Europe, failed to experience the cardiovascular revolution, thus deviating from the pattern described above. And a number of African countries, such as Nigeria, Zambia, and Zimbabwe, were struck by AIDS epidemics or by the resurgence of earlier diseases, without having completed the second stage of the transition. In the middle of the 1960s, life expectancies in the countries of eastern Europe and the Soviet Union entered a period of stagnation or regression resulting from the combined effects of in-

creased cardiovascular mortality, violence, and alcoholism.

See also: *Disease, Burden of; Diseases, Chronic and Degenerative; Diseases, Infectious; Health Transition; Mortality Decline; Mortality Reversals; Oldest Old.*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Caldwell, John C. 2001. "Population Health Transition." *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 79: 159–160.
- Caselli, Graziella, France Meslé, and Jacques Vallin. 2001. "Les entorses au schéma de la transition épidémiologique." Paper presented to the general conference of the International Union for the Scientific Study of Population, Salvador, Brazil, August 2001.
- Frenk, Julio, José Luis Bobadilla, Claudio Stern, Tomas Frejka, and Rafael Lozano. 1991. "Elements for a Theory of the Health Transition." *Health Transition Review* 1: 21–38.
- Meslé, France, and Jacques Vallin. 2000. "Transition sanitaire: Tendances et perspectives." *Médecine/science* 16: 1,161–1,171.
- Meslé, France, and Vladimir M. Shkolnikov. 2000. "Russie: Une crise sanitaire sans précédents." *Espace, populations, sociétés* 2: 253–272.
- Myers, Georges, Vicki Lamb, and Emily Agree. 2002. "Patterns of Disability Change Associated with the Epidemiologic Transition." In *Determining Health Expectancies*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Olshansky, S. Jay, and A. Brian Ault. 1986. "The Fourth Stage of the Epidemiologic Transition: The Age of Delayed Degenerative Diseases." *Milbank Quarterly* 64: 355–391.
- Omran, Abdel R. 1971. "The Epidemiologic Transition: A Theory of the Epidemiology of Population Change." *Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly* 29: 509–538.
- Robine, Jean-Marie. 2001. "Redefining the Stages of the Epidemiological Transition by a Study of the Dispersion of Life Spans: The Case of France." *Population: An English Selection* 13(1): 173–194.

JEAN-MARIE ROBINE

ESTIMATION METHODS, DEMOGRAPHIC

Demographic estimation methods have been developed to cope with inadequacies frequently found in standard demographic data. In settings where population statistics are of good quality, key descriptive demographic measures are calculated as occurrence rates, with occurrences recorded by a vital statistics system and exposure time obtained from population estimates, the latter typically census based. In many developing countries, data from these sources may simply not be available, or may be affected by systematic errors that bias the resulting measures.

An Overview of Estimation

Improvements in demographic data have reduced the need for demographic estimation. For example, the birth histories widely collected in sample surveys in developing countries provide adequate measures of fertility and child mortality from occurrence-exposure data. However, measures for small areas and of other population parameters, such as adult mortality and migration, still often require estimation. Even when population statistics are generally adequate, estimation methods have proved useful for tracing historical trends in demographic parameters, and are also helpful for estimating some parameters of current population dynamics that are particularly hard to measure, such as migration.

Demographic estimation methods can be broadly categorized into three groups: those that estimate rates from *changes in stocks*, those that are based on *consistency checks*, and those that are based on *indirect estimation*. The ideas underlying these three groups are illustrated below with examples.

Changes in Stocks

Stocks, such as the number of people in a population over age 50 or the number of children ever born to a cohort of women, change as a result of demographic events. Changes in stocks can therefore be used to draw inferences about underlying demographic rates. In situations where demographic events are not directly recorded, or are recorded with unacceptable levels of error, changes in population aggregates between two observations can be used as a basis to estimate the number of events between the two observations. Estimation methods