The words "The Population Bomb," selected as the title for this book, were first used in 1954 on the cover of a pamphlet issued by the Hugh Moore Fund. Annual editions of the pamphlet have been issued and widely distributed totaling over two million copies. The terms "population bomb" and "population explosion" which are now in general circulation were first used in this pamphlet.

(Revised)

A SIERRA CLUB/BALLANTINE BOOK An hour Publisher NEW YORK

Copyright @ 1968, 1971, by Paul R. Ehrlich

Third Printing: August, 1968 Fourth Printing: January, 1969 Fifth Printing: March, 1969 Swenth Printing: September, 1969 Seventh Printing: September, 1969 Eighth Printing: November, 1969 Parth Printing: November, 1969 Tenth Printing: December, 1969 Tenth Printing: January, 1970 Twelfth Printing: January, 1970 Twelfth Printing: March, 1970 Fufteenth Printing: March, 1970 Fourteenth Printing: March, 1970 Sixteenth Printing: March, 1970 Sixteenth Printing: March, 1970 Seventeenth Printing: March, 1970 Nineteenth Printing: March, 1970 Twentieth Printing: August, 1970 Twentieth Printing: October, 1970 Twenty-second Printing: November, 1970	Second Printing: May, 1968	
--	----------------------------	--

Revised Edition: First Printing: February, 1971 Second Printing: April, 1971 Third Printing: September, 1971 Fourth Printing: January, 1972 Fifth Printing: May, 1972 PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

BALLANTINE BOOKS, INC. 101 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10003

CONTENTS

TOO MANY PEOPLE TOO LITTLE FOOD A DYING PLANET 2. THE ENDS OF THE ROAD SCENARIO I SCENARIO II SCENARIO III 3. WHAT IS BEING DONE FAMILY PLANNING AND OTHER FAILURES MULTIPLYING BREAD PROTECTING OUR HOUSE IN ORDER GETTING OUR HOUSE IN ORDER REALISM AND INTERNATIONAL AID THE CHANCES OF SUCCESS 5. WHAT CAN YOU DO? JOIN ZPG WRITE LETTERS ORGANIZE ACTION GROUPS POSITIVE REINFORCEMENT PROSELYTIZE FRIENDS AND ASSOCIATES 6. WHAT IF I'M WRONG? Appendix: Letters Urging Action Footnotes Bibliography Acknowledgments	FOREWORD by David Brower	rrologoe	3110010ad
18 18 26 50 50 62 78 91 102 1127 1128 1146 1158 1160 1160 1160 1161 1160 1160 1160 116	XIII	¥.	,

PROLOGUE

The battle to feed all of humanity is over. In the 1970s and 1980s hundreds of millions of people will starve to death in spite of any crash programs embarked upon now. At this late date nothing can prevent a substantial increase in the world death rate, although many lives could be saved through dramatic programs to "stretch" the carrying capacity of the earth by increasing food production and providing for more equitable distribution of whatever food is available. But these programs will only provide a stay of execution unless they are accompanied by determined and successful efforts at population control. Population control is the conscious regulation of the numbers of human beings to meet the needs not just of individual families, but of society as a whole.

Nothing could be more misleading to our children than our present affluent society. They will inherit a totally different world, a world in which the standards, politics, and economics of the past decade are dead. As the most influential nation in the world today, and its largest consumer, the United States cannot stand isolated. We are today involved in the events leading to famine and ecocatastrophe; tomorrow we may be destroyed by them.

Our position requires that we take immediate action at home and promote effective action worldwide. We

combine ecologically sound agricultural development oblivion. We can no longer afford merely to treat the with the death rate or mankind will breed itself into changes in behavior or technology can save us unless ruined. It cannot be overemphasized, however, that no of our environment before our planet is permanently ported in underdeveloped countries. While this is being and population control must be established and suptheir way of living so as to minimize their impact on the if voluntary methods fail. Americans must also change through changes in our value system, but by compulsion must have population control at home, hopefully symptoms of the cancer of population growth; the cancer population. The birth rate must be brought into balance we can achieve control over the size of the human done, we must take action to reverse the deterioration world's resources and environment. Programs which itself must be cut out.

FOREWORD

Man can undo himself with no other force than his own brutality. It is a new brutality, coming swiftly at a time when, as Loren Eiseley says, "the need is for a gentler race. But the hand that hefted the axe against the ice, the tiger, and the bear now fondles the machine gun as lovingly."

The roots of the new brutality, it will become clear from The Population Bomb, are in the lack of population control. There is, we must hope and predict, a chance to exert control in time. We would like to predict that organizations which, like the Sierra Club, have been much too calm about the ultimate threat to mankind, will awaken themselves and others, and awaken them with an urgency that will be necessary to fulfillment of the prediction that mankind will survive.

It was only twelve years ago that we even suggested, in any Sierra Club publication, that uncontrolled population was a menace. We went far enough to write: "People are recognizing that we cannot forever continue to multiply and subdue the earth without losing our standard of life and the natural beauty that must be part of it.... These are the years of decision—the decision of men to stay the flood of man."

In the next two years we worried about the battle of man versus his own numbers and were concerned that growth itself was growing and were not joyful about

conference, "The Meaning of Wilderness to Science." the imminence of California's outstripping New York loose with a provocative address before a Sierra Club It was Professor Raymond Cowles who shook us

hattan, or Los Angeles. better where he had been. He could start with Mansometimes a gentle touch but too often brutal, and do tenths or so of the earth that had already felt his touch, destroying wilderness. He could go back over the nine-He could limit his heretofore unslackened appetite for be put to good purpose. He could limit his numbers. enough genius to require that science and technology DNA was greater than GNP, to predict that man had became possible to question growth, to suggest that mention the big problem. In just two or three years it about mentioning population problems in early speeches, there were more vociferous complaints if I forgot to was not so. For the complaints that I had received What in the late fifties had seemed heretical soon

Wilderness would remain part of his geography of hope, from becoming a cage. wilderness endured on the planet, prevent man's world as Wallace Stegner put it, and could, merely because thank him for leaving the source of those answers. over, that there would be wiser men, and they would ask. He could predict that the day of creation was not answers for questions he had not yet learned how to ing without limits. Wilderness could, however, provide not sustain him in his old habits of growing and reach-Whatever resources the wilderness still held would

not just a more and more crowded one. notion of predicting a more and more desirable future, The good predictions could be entertained—the

---DAVID BROWER

THE PROBLEM Chapter 1

lems of Delhi and Calcutta are our problems too. Ameri We were just some overprivileged tourists, unaccustomed seemed that anything could happen-but, of course, hotel? All three of us were, frankly, frightened. It the scene a hellish aspect. Would we ever get to our squawking, the dust, noise, heat, and cooking fires gave People herding animals. People, people, people, people defecating and urinating. People clinging to buses. hands through the taxi window, People eating, people washing, people sleeping. People ture was well over 100, and the air was a haze of ally one stinking hot night in Delhi a few years ago. My to the sights and sounds of India. Perhaps, but the probnothing did. Old India hands will laugh at our reaction. As we moved slowly through the mob, hand horn visiting, arguing, and screaming. People thrusting their dust and smoke. The streets seemed alive with people. the city, we entered a crowded slum area. The temperaonly functional gear was third. As we crawled through an ancient taxi. The seats were hopping with fleas. The wife and daughter and I were returning to our hotel in tually for a long time. I came to understand it emotion-I have understood the population explosion intellecbegging. People

2 THE POPULATION BOMB

cans have helped to create them; we help to prevent their solution. We must all learn to identify with the plight of our less fortunate fellows on Spaceship Earth if we are to help both them and ourselves to survive.

Too Many People

Americans are beginning to realize that the under-developed countries of the world face an inevitable population-food crisis. Each year food production in these countries falls a bit further behind burgeoning population growth, and people go to bed a little bit hungrier. While there are temporary or local reversals of this trend, it now seems inevitable that it will continue to its logical conclusion: mass starvation. The rich may continue to get richer, but the more numerous poor are going to get poorer. Of these poor, a minimum of ten million people, most of them children, will starve to death during each year of the 1970s. But this is a mere handful compared to the numbers that will be starving before the end of the century. And it is now too late to take action to save many of those people.

However, most Americans are not aware that the U.S. and other developed countries also have a problem with overpopulation. Rather than suffering from food shortages, these countries show symptoms in the form of environmental deterioration and increased difficulty in obtaining resources to support their affluence.

In a book about population there is a temptation to stun the reader with an avalanche of statistics. I'll spare you most, but not all, of that. After all, no matter how you slice it, population is a numbers game. Perhaps the

THE PROBLEM 5

best way to impress you with numbers is to tell you about the "doubling time"—the time necessary for the population to double in size.

years into the indefinite future. tion that the population continued to double every 35 35 years is best brought home by a theoretical exercise. years. Perhaps the meaning of a doubling time of around people. The doubling time at present seems to be about 35 years. Quite a reduction in doubling times: yet, but we now have well over three and a half billion bling, as the population reached two billion around 1930. Let's examine what might happen on the absurd assump-1,000,000 years, 1,000 years, 200 years, 80 years, 35 We have not completed the next doubling to four billion reached a billion people around 1850, doubling in some it doubled roughly once every thousand years or so. It almost 10,000 years later-about 1650 a.d. This means one million years to get there from two and a half mil-8000 B.C. was about five million people, taking perhaps 200 years. It took only 80 years or so for the next doulion. The population did not reach 500 million until It has been estimated that the human population of

If growth continued at that rate for about 900 years, there would be some 60,000,000,000,000,000 people on the face of the earth. Sixty million billion people. This is about 100 persons for each square yard of the Earth's surface, land and sea. A British physicist, J. H. Fremlin, guessed that such a multitude might be housed in a continuous 2,000-story building covering our entire planet. The upper 1,000 stories would contain only the apparatus for running this gigantic warren. Ducts, pipes, wires, elevator shafts, etc., would occupy about half of the space in the bottom 1,000 stories. This would leave three or four yards of floor space for each person. I will leave to your imagination the physical details of existence in this ant heap, except to point out that all would not be black. Probably each person would be limited in

his travel. Perhaps he could take elevators through all 1,000 residential stories but could travel only within a circle of a few hundred yards' radius on any floor. This would permit, however, each person to choose his friends from among some ten million people! And, as Fremlin points out, entertainment on the worldwide TV should be excellent, for at any time "one could expect some ten million Shakespeares and rather more Beatles to be alive."

Could growth of the human population of the Earth continue beyond that point? Not according to Fremlin. We would have reached a "heat limit." People themselves, as well as their activities, convert other forms of energy into heat which must be dissipated. In order to permit this excess heat to radiate directly from the top of the "world building" directly into space, the atmosphere would have been pumped into flasks under the sea well before the limiting population size was reached. The precise limit would depend on the technology of the day. At a population size of one billion billion people, the temperature of the "world roof" would be kept around the melting point of iron to radiate away the human heat generated.

But, you say, surely Science (with a capital "S") will find a way for us to occupy the other planets of our solar system and eventually of other stars before we get all that crowded. Skip for a moment the virtual certainty that those planets are uninhabitable. Forget also the insurmountable logistic problems of moving billions of people off the Earth. Fremlin has made some interesting calculations on how much time we could buy by occupying the planets of the solar system. For instance, at any given time it would take only about 50 years to populate Venus, Mercury, Mars, the moon, and the moons of Jupiter and Saturn to the same population density as Earth.⁸

What if the fantastic problems of reaching and colo-

nizing the other planets of the solar system, such as Jupiter and Uranus, can be solved? It would take only about 200 years to fill them "Earth-full." So we could perhaps gain 250 years of time for population growth in the solar system after we had reached an absolute limit on Earth. What then? We can't ship our surplus to the stars. Professor Garrett Hardin's of the University of California at Santa Barbara has dealt effectively with this fantasy. Using extremely optimistic assumptions, he has calculated that Americans, by cutting their standard of living down to 18% of its present level, could in *one year* set aside enough capital to finance the exportation to the stars of *one day's* increase in the population of the world.

Interstellar transport for surplus people presents an amusing prospect. Since the ships would take generations to reach most stars, the only people who could be transported would be those willing to exercise strict birth control. Population explosions on space ships would be disastrous. Thus we would have to export our responsible people, leaving the irresponsible at home on Earth to breed.

Enough of fantasy. Hopefully, you are convinced that the population will have to stop growing sooner or later and that the extremely remote possibility of expanding into outer space offers no escape from the laws of population growth. If you still want to hope for the stars, just remember that, at the current growth rate, in a few thousand years everything in the visible universe would be converted into people, and the ball of people would be expanding with the speed of light! Unfortunately, even 900 years is much too far in the future for those of us concerned with the population explosion. As you will see, the next nine years will probably tell the story.

Of course, population growth is not occurring uniformly over the face of the Earth. Indeed, countries are divided rather neatly into two groups: those with

rapid growth rates, and those with relatively slow growth cient agriculture, very small gross national products, a disproportionate amount of the world's resources and group consists of the "overdeveloped countries" and Asian countries fall into this category. The second developed is "hungry." Most Latin American, African UDCs are technically, but a short definition of underhigh illiteracy rates and related problems. That's what The UDCs are not industrialized, tend to have ineffiknown as the "underdeveloped countries" (UDCs). the world population, coincides closely with what are rates. The first group, making up about two-thirds of people in these countries are adequately nourished. are the major polluters. Most, but by no means all,0 Israel, the USSR, Japan, and Australia. They consume the United States, Canada, most European countries, (ODCs). ODCs are modern industrial nations, such as

Kenya, 23 years; Nigeria, 27; Turkey, 26; Indonesia, 24; Philippines, 21; Brazil, 25; Costa Rica, 19; and El released by the Population Reference Bureau) are: years. Examples of these times (from the 1970 figures nurses, teachers, and administrators must be doubled system must be doubled. The number of trained doctors, power must be doubled. The capacity of the transpor structure and road must be duplicated. The amount of keep living standards at the present inadequate level. tion of a country to double in 25 years. In order just to Salvador, 21. Think of what it means for the popula-Think of what it means to a country with none of these immense industries, and access to abundant resources States—a rich country with a fine agricultural system This would be a fantastically difficult job in the United the food available for the people must be doubled. Every Doubling times in the UDCs range around 20 to 35

Remember also that in virtually all UDCs, people have gotten the word about the better life it is possible to have. They have seen colored pictures in magazines

of the miracles of Western technology. They have seen automobiles and airplanes. They have seen American and European movies. Many have seen refrigerators, tractors, and even TV sets. Almost all have heard transistor radios. They know that a better life is possible. They have what we like to call "rising expectations." If twice as many people are to be happy, the miracle of doubling what they now have will not be enough. It will only maintain today's standard of living. There will have to be a tripling or better. Needless to say, they are not going to be happy.

Doubling times for the populations of the ODCs tend to be in the 50-to-200-year range. Examples of 1970 doubling times are the United States, 70 years; Austria, 175; Denmark, 88; Norway, 78; United Kingdom, 140; Poland, 78; Russia, 70; Italy, 88; Spain, 70; and Japan, 63. These are industrialized countries that have undergone the so-called demographic transition—a transition from high to low growth rates. As industrialization progressed, children became less important to parents as extra hands to work on the farm and as support in old age. At the same time they became a financial drag—expensive to raise and educate. Presumably these were the reasons for a slowing of population growth after industrialization. They boil down to a simple fact—people just wanted to have fewer children.

It is important to emphasize, however, that the demographic transition does not result in zero population growth, but in a growth rate which in many of the most important ODCs results in populations doubling every seventy years or so. This means, for instance, that even if most UDCs were to undergo a demographic transition (of which there is no sign) the world would still be faced by catastrophic population growth. No growth rate can be sustained in the long run.

Saying that the ODCs have undergone a demographic transition thus does not mean that they have no popula-

share of the world's wealth of minerals and energy. And societies; they must coopt much more than their fair Similarly, ODCs are overpopulated because they do not criterion that they are not able to produce enough food overpopulated. They are overpopulated by the simple tion problems. First of all, most of them are already many people for the area of a country, but too many capacity of their environments to dispose of their wastes. they are overpopulated because they have exceeded the themselves have the resources to support their affluent for sale they will find the money rather indigestible. money to buy food, but when food is no longer available to feed their populations. It is true that they have the Overpopulation occurs when numbers threaten values. people in relation to the necessities and amenities of life Remember, overpopulation does not normally mean too

problems not only increase with growing population and other social disorders. Indeed, social and environmental growing cities: not just garbage in our environment, but ODCs, we hear constantly of the headaches related to In the United States, one of the more rapidly growing problem is not as severe in ODCs as it is in the UDCs more and more crowded relative to the countryside. This population distribution. Their urban centers are getting normally much more than doubles environmental dedone by each individual. Doubling the population Adding more people to an area increases the damage urbanization, they tend to increase at an even faster rate school systems, rising tax and crime rates, riots, and overcrowded highways, burgeoning slums, deteriorating 2000), but they are very serious and speedily worsening Calcutta would have 66 million inhabitants in the year (if current trends should continue, which they cannot terroration. ODCs also share with the UDCs serious problems of

Demographically, the whole problem is quite simple. A population will continue to grow as long as the birth

so much more rapidly than seems possible. Look at the terest rate) and the doubling time of the population relationship between the annual percent increase (ining at compound interest that makes populations double ple added to population produce more people. It's grow-Just as interest dollars themselves earn interest, so peo-50 years to add a second thousand people ($20 \times 50 =$ be 35 years. Note that if you simply added 20 people dred people), the rate of 20 per thousand becomes 2% (time for your money to double): pecause populations grow at compound interest rates. 1,000). But the doubling time is actually much less per thousand per year to the population, it would take If the rate of increase is 2%, then the doubling time will (30-10=20). Expressed as a percent (rate per hunthen the rate of increase is 20 per thousand per year per year, and the death rate is 10 per thousand per year, the rate of increase. If the birth rate is 30 per thousand death rate from the birth rate, ignoring migration, gives of deaths per thousand people per year. Subtracting the per year in the population. The death rate is the number birth rate is the number of births per thousand people between birth rate and death rate that is critical. The gration are not occurring. It is, of course, the balance rate exceeds the death rate-if immigration and emi-

a. C	9.0	2.0	<u>`</u>	Annual percent increase
17	24	ಭ	70	Doubling time

Those are all the calculations—I promise. If you are interested in more details on how demographic figuring is done, you may enjoy reading Thompson and Lewis's excellent book, *Population Problems*, or my book, *Population, Resources, Environment*, 16

a low of only three below zero on December 22 as a children move into their reproductive years. In Japan, women at reproductive age. In the United States the rates, along with all demographic statistics, show shortcheery sign of approaching spring. First of all, birth perature of five below zero on December 21, interprets sion. They are a little like a person who, after a low tempronouncements about the end of the population explolike to greet every sign of dropping birth rates with wild because they are afraid of having daughters. in the Year of the Fire Horse make poor wives, and low birth rates. There is widespread belief that girls born higher rates as more post World War II "baby boom" low birth rates of the late 1960's are being replaced by the birth rate depends rather heavily on the number of term fluctuations caused by many factors. For instance, Japanese couples try to avoid giving birth in that year 1966, the Year of the Fire Horse, was a year of very There are some professional optimists around who

more and more food in a world with less and less. In were dying each year. Fine for a few years perhaps, but was good for Costa Rica-fewer people per thousand and Haiti were all above 30 years. Ah, but, you say, it population of Costa Rica in 1966 was doubling every countries all had death rates above 20 per thousand. The rate was less than nine per thousand, while the other Costa Rica? Unfortunately, not very. Costa Rica's death and death rate that is most critical. Indonesia, Laos, and had in 1966, if the 1966 trend continues. Where will the under 15 years old. As they get older, they will need what then? Some 50% of the people in Costa Rica are 17 years, while the doubling times of Indonesia, Laos, Costa Rica's birth rate was 41 per thousand. Good for Haiti all had birth rates around 46 per thousand in 1966 food come from? Today the death rate in Costa Rica 1983 they will have twice as many mouths to feed as they But, I repeat, it is the relationship between birth rate

alive? down when there's not enough food to keep people physicians in proportion to their population. How do is low in part because they have a large number of you suppose those physicians will keep the death rate fixed in us by billions of years of evolution.

are the gunpowder for the population explosion. during the next decade, we're going to see the greatest mass of young people moves into its reproductive years world is made up of people under 15 years old. As that is that over 40% of the population of the underveloped for all the ominous predictions for the year 2000. They baby boom of all time. Those youngsters are the reason One of the most ominous facts of the current situation

volves thousands of millennia of our ancestors being to greater reproductive success will tend to be perpetuoutrepreducing others. As I said, reproduction is the for billions of years. Whatever types produced more This differential reproduction of genetic types is the sively larger and larger proportions of blue-eyed people. Should this continue, the population will have progresto the next generation than will genes for brown eyes. occurring. More genes for blue eyes will be passed on age than those with brown eyes, natural selection is selection, the development of culture, and man's swoltime ago, and the story involves the process of natural ated. The entire process by which man developed inphysiological process, or pattern of behavior that leads key to winning the evolutionary game. Any structure, reasons that need not concern us), and some are always lations contain very many different genetic types (for offspring became the common types. Virtually all popudriving force of evolution; it has been driving evolution people with blue eyes have more children on the averdifferential reproduction of genetic types. That is, if duction. Indeed, natural selection is simply defined as len head. The essence of success in evolution is repro-How did we get into this bind? It all happened a long

more successful breeders than their relatives. Facet number one of our bind-the urge to reproduce has been

a few million years ago, it was very difficult for a mother would have gone extinct. Among our apelike ancestors tial period of time, the evolutionary line leading to man death rate had overtaken the birth rate for any substancessful is attested to by our very existence, for, if the birth rate ahead of the death rate. That they were sucrate was near the birth rate. Then another factor entered to rear her children successfully. Most of the offspring evolution. ancestors were fighting a continual battle to keep the the picture—cultural evolution was added to biological died before they reached reproductive age. The death Of course through all those years of evolution, our

and also through television, computer tapes, motion pictransmission. Today culture is passed on in these ways painting, and demonstration. Several thousand years ago the old days, was passed on entirely by word of mouth to generation. It is the accumulated knowledge that, in genetic information which people pass from generation is stored in the chemical language of his genes. all the information man possesses except for that which tures, records, blueprints, and other media. Culture is the written word was added to the means of cultural Culture can be loosely defined as the body of non-

to the development of cultural information. A big brain ing slightly the premium placed on brain size in the next the accumulating store of cultural information, increasbrains to their numerous offspring. They also added to brained relatives. They passed on their genes for big more successful reproductively than their smallerfully with the culture of their group. They were thus Big-brained individuals were able to deal more successis an advantage when dealing with such information The large size of the human brain evolved in response

-a trend toward increased brain size.11

our other urges. urge to reproduce is hopelessly entwined with most of successful rearing. Facet number two of our bind-our duction of young, but it also evolved to ensure their volving our self-esteem, our choice of friends, cars, and enon penetrating into all aspects of our lives-one inof offspring. It is a varied and complex cultural phenomsex. Sex is not simply an act leading to the production at the roots of our present problem. They are essential on the female, the evolution of the family group, all are acteristic of most mammals. The year-round sexuality of get rid of the short, well-defined breeding season charmake a woman bell-shaped and relatively immobile, but history. Sex in human beings is necessary for the proleaders. It is tightly interwoven with our mythologies and ingredients in the vast social phenomenon that we call the human female, the long period of infant dependence working on that problem, but an essential step was to She couldn't, unless Papa hung around. The girls are still infant during its unusually long period of helplessness? birth.12 How could the mother defend and care for her less for a long period while their brains grew after to accept the problem of having babies who were helpwoman's pelvis could conveniently become. To make a long story short, the strategy of evolution was not to and bigger heads. There were limits to how large a But there was, quite literally, a rub. Babies had bigger

disease. Life was short, if not sweet. Man's total populaa less than perfect record at treating wounds and curing people could move in. Witch doctors and shamans had very impressive cave bears out of their caves before food-gathering is a risky business. Cavemen had to throw as among the older members of the group. Hunting and prevent a very high mortality among the young, as well Of course, in the early days the whole system did not

generation. A self-reinforcing selective trend developed tion size doubtless increased slowly but steadily as huour species. man populations expanded out of the African cradle of

denly some of the risk was removed from life. The ard of living in what are today the ODCs was dramatimadic life were also reduced, perhaps balanced by new human groups. Other threats associated with the nochances of dying of starvation diminished greatly in some give up hunting food and settled down to grow it. Sudcurred-the agricultural revolution. People began to cally increasing due to industrialization, population lation grew more rapidly. Around 1800, when the stand more secure existence than before, and the human popuhas been able to depress the death rate with astonishing sible for the drastic lowering of death rates in the UDCs. death control," exported by the ODCs, has been responin part to other factors, there is no question that "instan growth really began to accelerate. The development of birth rate; healthier people have more babies. rapidity and at the same time drastically increase the Medical science, with its efficient public health programs, back. While lowering death rates in the ODCs was due medical science was the straw that broke the camel's the development of cities. But the overall result was a threats of disease and large-scale warfare associated with Then about 10,000 years ago a major change oc-

addition, malaria, which infected a large portion of the sented only a portion of the malaria deaths, as many by an examination of the classic case of Ceylon's assault population, made people susceptible to many other disresponsible for fully half of the deaths on the island. In were reported as being due to "pyrexia." Indeed, in almost two per thousand. This rate, however, repre-1934-1935 a malaria epidemic may have been directly the death rate due directly to malaria was reported as on malaria after World War II. Between 1933 and 1942 The power of exported death control can best be seen

well as directly.

though part of the drop is doubtless due to the killing has continued to decline and now stands at eight. Al- OCCUIT. the control of malaria. health measures, most of it can be accounted for by of other insects which carry disease and to other public down to ten in 1954. Since the sharp postwar drop it It dropped 34% between 1946 and 1947 and moved result, the death rate on the island was halved in less raise the death rate—war, famine, pestilence—find us. trol over the mosquitoes which carry malaria. As a than a decade. The death rate in Ceylon in 1945 was 22.

23% in Jamaica. In a sample of 18 undeveloped areas clined 46% in Puerto Rico, 43% in Formosa, and similar plunges in death rate throughout most of the and other infectious diseases has been responsible for 1950 was 24%. the average decline in death rate between 1945 and UDCs. In the decade 1940-1950 the death rate de-Victory over malaria, yellow fever, smallpox, cholera,

death control goes with the grain, birth control against it goes against our evolutionary values. During all those all a powerful will to live. Intervening in the birth rate are all too often reinforced by our culture. In brief, biological urges are for more reproduction, and they ment in greater quantities than those who reproduced had the most children passed on their genetic endowcenturies of our evolutionary past, the individuals who death rate. Billions of years of evolution have given us less. Their genes dominate our heredity today. All our It is, of course, socially very acceptable to reduce the

shrink, it will mean that either the birth rate has gone grow as long as the birth rate exceeds the death rate; it's down or the death rate has gone up or a combination of as simple as that. When it stops growing or starts to In summary, the world's population will continue to

eases. It thus contributed to the death rate indirectly as the two. Basically, then, there are only two kinds of solu-The introduction of DDT in 1946 brought rapid con- solution," in which we find ways to lower the birth rate. rate so that a "death rate solution" did not have to control, in which mankind consciously adjusted the birth The problem could have been avoided by population The other is a "death rate solution," in which ways to tions to the population problem. One is a "birth rate

Too Little Food

act scale and consequences of the famines will be. But 1966, while the population of the world increased by a death rate solution to the population problem. In fact, One answer is that the world is rapidly running out of began to be brought into production—as evidenced by that we will not be able to prevent large-scale famines in food. And famine, of course, could be one way to reach reduced yields per acre. In short, all the signs of an the next decade or so. It is difficult to guess what the ex- what is now popularly called the "war on hunger." the battle to feed humanity is already lost, in the sense

process indeed. Third, and perhaps most important, ma, Thailand, Rumania, and South Africa. The United starvation is undramatic. Deaths from starvation go unnoticed, even when they occur as close as Mississippi. Canada and Australia contributing most of the balance ical care is available, starvation can be a long, drawn-out ada, Australia, Argentina, France, New Zealand, Burvictim to some disease as they weaken. When good med-more food than they consumed: the United States, Caning people usually don't die of starvation. They often fall being uniformly distributed. Only ten countries grew debate. The reason is threefold. First, demographic stational disasters in 1965 and 1966. In 1966 each person on deaths attributable to starvation is open to considerable between 1955 and 1965 were wiped out by agricultural undernourished (have too little food) or malnourished tions Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), adcan live happily "on a bowl of rice a day." Such a diet and Russia, imported more than they exported. In 1966 Many Americans are under the delusion that an Asian All other countries, including the giants of China, India, ustics are often incomplete or unreliable. Second, starv- Earth had 2% less to eat, the reduction, of course, not (have serious imbalances in their diet). The number of vances in food production made in developing nations

tion. Dumont and Rosier in their book The Hungry five million Indian children die each year of malnutrian American. A New Republic article14 estimated that McGovern16 has called hunger "the chief killer of man." to death this year, mostly children. Senator George Future 16 estimate that 10 to 20 million people will starve

next 900 for finding a solution to the population crisis? the UDCs. Food prices began to rise. Marginal land Why did I pick on the next nine years instead of the nomic laws of supply and demand began to take effect in approaching food crisis began to appear. Then in 1965-1966 came the first dramatic blow. stork passed the plow."17 Serious transfers of food began from the ODCs to the UDCs. As food got scarcer, ecopopulation growth. Then, sometime around 1958, "the food production per person in the UDCs kept up with Through the first decade following World War II.

there will be famines. Let's look at the situation today. some 70 million people, there was no compensatory in means slow starvation for an Asian, just as it would for the United States shipped one quarter of its wheat crop At least half of the people of the world are now crease in food production. According to the United Nanine million tons, to India. In the process we helped In 1965-1966 mankind suffered a shocking defeat in

sands migrated into port cities so as to be close to the food will not be a problem in the future. Usually, these we have an unlimited capacity to ship them food. Un- Malnutrition-a lack of one or more of these essential Perhaps we gave too many Indians the impression that healthy; they need protein, fats, vitamins, and minerals some, hindered India's own agricultural development. beings need much more than calories to stay alive and change the distribution of people in the country. Thou- optimists maintain that there is plenty of food and that happily, we do not. centers of wheat distribution. We also, in the opinion of people are only counting calories. Unfortunately, human elements in the diet-is even more widespread than a

stop population growth. It's too soon to evaluate its true important. Protein is the key to the world food problem encouraged even more optimism. But even those most ous nutritional deficiencies. role so devoutly wished for by many. potential. But it clearly cannot be assigned the panacea —it is high-quality protein which is most expensive to very best buy us only a decade or two in which to try to more food. The quality of food provided is also very concerned with the Green Revolution say it can at the new wheat and rice varieties (the Green Revolution), (as well as illness and death) can also result from varisituation. Some hopeful signs, especially in the form of retarded. Permanent dwarfing, crippling, and blindness pessimism to limited optimism about the world food suffer permanent brain impairment and be mentally pecially in the U.S. Department of Agriculture) from in a child's diet during the first few years, the child may largely to good weather, shifted some agriculturists (es- requirements) in a mother's diet during pregnancy and the per capita level of 1964. This partial recovery, due which is properly constituted to meet human nutritional growing year almost worldwide; harvests almost reached protein. Without enough high-quality protein (protein In 1967 we were extremely fortunate in having a fine shortage of calories. The most common deficiency is in

"surpluses" can exist is in itself a commentary on the other countries could not buy. The possibility that such far behind those of Asia in recognizing overpopulation nomic surpluses, food that destitute, hungry people in Latin America. There, politicians have generally been pluses that they were unable to sell. These were ecoof increase was due to deliberately lower production in grains, fruits, and vegetables—thus their diets may have moral conscience and economic system of the world. ODCs, which in 1968 had produced great grain sur- inadequate protein, both in amount and quality. the population inexorably rose by 2%. Much of the lack eggs. Poor people must subsist mainly on plant foods—

tributed (which it certainly isn't today),18 there would in Peru, tugurios in Colombia, ranchos in Venezuelaenough to eat. If the world's food were equitably dis- ditions in the urban slums-favelas in Brazil, barriadas (and many people in some ODCs) simply do not receive of the people are equally spectacular. The hideous con-

some areas, there was again no increase in world food highest concentrations of protein are found in meat, seaproduction during 1969 according to the FAO, while food, poultry, and animal products such as milk and Even with the Green Revolution well established in ecological cost of getting it. The highest quality and obtain, both in economic terms and in terms of the So the food problem is not simply one of providing

be enough calories--just barely--for everyone. Some have received wide publicity in the press and popular Large segments of the populations of many UDCs truly spectacular. And the poverty, hunger, and misery doubling times in many Latin American countries are as a major source of their problems. As noted earlier, Some of the most depressing situations are found in

magazines in recent years. Yet most Americans either do not know or choose to ignore the true depths of the misery and despair in which so many of our southern neighbors spin out their lives. Dry figures unfortunately make little impression. It is hard to grasp the meaning of Peru's doubling time of 23 years. It is easy, however, to grasp the meaning of Peruvian Indian children chewing coca leaves. The leaves are the source of cocaine, which suppresses the children's hunger pains.

would do if, after the last child was born, the average every 21 years. What do you suppose American families cealed behind the dry statistic of a population doubling often to suicide. That's the kind of misery that's conon to quack abortion, infanticide, frigidity, and all too with ineffective native forms of contraception and moves sion of attempts to limit the size of her family. She starts technology keeps seven or eight alive. Each child adds did not reach Colombia until after World War II. Before country with a doubling time of 21 years. Death control the spot the Colombians are in. family had to spend 80% of its income on food? That's the despair of the mother. According to Dr. Sumner M. to the impossible financial burden of the family and to ten pregnancies. Now, in spite of malnutrition, medical children survive to reproductive age if she went through it arrived, a woman could expect to have two or three Kalman, 19 the average mother goes through a progres-Turning to Colombia, we find an extremely poor

Arthur Hopcraft has published a book, *Born to Hunger*, ²⁰ which might be described as a "report from the front" of the war on hunger. His record of a 45,000-mile trip through Africa, Asia, and South America has much greater immediacy than any set of population-food production statistics. He visited a Dr. Lema, whose survey of the vicinity of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, revealed 30% of the children under five to be malnour-ished. Sixty-five of those children were hospitalized with

severe kwashiorkor, a malnutrition disease "in which open sores spread over the flesh, particularly on the thighs and lower body, so that the child locks as if he had been badly burned." Fourteen of these children died. To the west of Dar es Salaam, in a less fertile region, the death rate of children under five is nearly 50%. Hopcraft quotes Dr. Shah of Ajarpura, India, to the effect that the infant mortality rate of 125 per 1,000 births in the area was due to gastroenteritis, respiratory diseases, and malnutrition. Ajarpura was considered a progressive village, although the majority of the people were malnourished.

From Colombia, Hopcraft reports 100 infant deaths per day from malnutrition, supporting the picture of desperation painted by Kalman. From Turkana, Kenya, he reports 6,000 people still living on handouts in famine camps established in 1961. Hopcraft reminds us again of what we must never forget as we contemplate our unprecedented problems—that in all the mess of expanding population, faltering food production, and environmental deterioration are enmeshed miserable, hungry, desperate human beings.

I wish I could tell you that in the face of this dilemma the United States is doing everything it possibly can to help the less fortunate people of our globe. Quite the contrary; in many ways we have been a major factor in pushing them into deeper misery. We have cooperated in a "rich man's club" of nations which has controlled the world trade situation to the great detriment of the UDCs. Along with the other ODCs, we have grabbed the lion's share of the world's protein—taking more from the protein-starved citizens of the UDCs than we return to them. What's worse, we feed a great deal of the protein we import to our pets (that protein is lost forever as human food) and to our farm animals (50% to 90% of that protein is lost to mankind).

Perhaps worst of all, in order to protect our overseas

essential to the survival of both UDCs and ODCs; the question that changing this pattern of behavior will be able agricultural development can occur.21 There is no socio-political changes which are needed before reasonbanner of "anti-Communism." By open and coveri and oligarchs in numerous countries under a phoney the "Third World." We have backed a series of dictators mequities. world can no longer afford to support and tolerate such action we have often prevented land reform and other States has supported an unhappy status quo throughout sources we "need" for our affluent society, the United commercial empire and to protect our access to the re-

United States then? such as Russia, which can afford to buy. From the money and will be busy selling to food-short countries. Not from Canada, Argentina, or Australia. They need Russia-she herself will probably need to import food deepens, where will the imports come from? Not from countries now rely heavily on imports. As the crisis astrophically behind population growth. Many of these Soon food production in the UDCs will fall cat-

general collapse. temporary or local situations which may precede a exports, although we might be of considerable help in our production are dim. And the problems of food transenough. Our vast agricultural surpluses are long gone. United States can save the world from famine with food port are vast. No knowledgeable person thinks that the highly efficient, so the prospects of massively increasing year's supply of stored crops. Our agriculture is already we would be in serious trouble. We have less than one Indeed, if we were to suffer a large-scale crop failure, They will get some, perhaps, but not anywhere near

open to debate. If the optimists are correct, today's level enough food today. How much there will be tomorrow is All of this can be easily summarized. There is not

> billion people aren't being properly fed in 1971! the assumption that they are correct. After all, some two by the early 1980s. So far most of the evidence seems to into the future. If the pessimists are correct, massive of misery will be perpetuated for perhaps two decades be on the side of the pessimists, and we should plan on famines will occur soon, possibly in the 1970s, certainly

Dying Planet

Our problems would be much simpler if we needed only to consider the balance between food and population. But in the long view the progressive deterioration of our environment may cause more death and misery than the food-population gap. And it is just this factor, environmental deterioration, that is almost universally ignored by those most concerned with closing the food gap.

study the relationships of plants and animals with their natural chemical cycles. Ecologists-those biologists who and probably less important than more subtle changes much enlarged populations. But these are obvious results all continents, have been greatly reduced in numbers. extinct. Many others, such as the larger wild animals of sapiens. As direct or indirect results of this explosion, systems (called ecosystems), and they are afraid of both environments---are especially concerned about these in the complex web of life and in delicately balanced Still others, such as sewer rats and house flies, enjoy some organisms, such as the passenger pigeon, are now changes. They realize how easily disrupted are ecological ism, human and nonhuman, on the face of the Earth has been influenced by the population explosion of Homo It is fair to say that the environment of every organ-

the short- and long-range consequences for these ecosystems of many of mankind's activities.

poundments of silt, sand, and gravel." irrigation dams in the United States are now useless imgreatly that in coastal regions salt water is seeping into to Southern California, we have lowered water tables so is declining by 1% per year. In our irrigated lands of the mining. It has been estimated that the agricultural value of are often striking. For instance, in the United States we the aquifers. Meanwhile, an estimated two thousand rising water tables, while, elsewhere, from Long Island West there is the constant danger of salinization from erosion and gullying, and many thousands more to strip deterioration. We have lost many thousands of acres to production. Professor LaMonte Cole has written, 22 are paying a price for maintaining our high level of food lowa farmland, which is about as good land as we have ... even our own young country is not immune to Environmental changes connected with agriculture

under irrigation, and it may well prove to be the ultimate sources as a major feature. A good example is the buildstill having ecologically incompetent use of water redisaster for Egypt." The proposed damming of the Me dam is designed to bring another million acres of land the Nile Delta. As Cole put it, "The new Aswan high been a continuing decrease in the productivity of soils in school geography could have predicted, the result has of the river. As almost anyone who remembers his highnutrient-rich silt that used to accompany annual floods ing of dams on the Nile, preventing the deposit of the process of destruction goes on today as in the past were once rich and productive farmlands. In this area East, where in many places deserts now occupy what stretches back to the cradles of civilization in the Middle the world is clear for those who know how to read it. It The history of similar deterioration in other parts of

kong could produce the same results for Vietnam and her neighbors.

The present growth of the world population commits us irrevocably to a policy of increasing annual food production for at least the next two or three generations. If this is to be successful, we must learn to do it in the most efficient, least damaging way. If we want the most food produced per acre, we must for the most part eat the plants. The reason is quite simple: the Second Law of Thermodynamics. The law says, in part, that when energy is transferred, some of it becomes unusable at each transfer. Each time energy is transferred in an ecosystem, some of it is converted into heat energy which is not usable by the organisms in the system.

some of it to make trout. Finally, you extract some of the and uses some of it to make insect tissues. The trout, in cattle produce at most 10 to 20 calories worth of beef. settling for ten calories of trout. Similarly, 100 calories trout links in the food chain, we could get 1,000 calories calories of insect which makes ten calories of trout which efficiency figure), 1,000 calories of plant makes 100 at stage two. To put it another way (using the lower in what was eaten at stage one turns up as usable energy transfers of this type only 10-20% of the energy present energy in the trout and make it into Homo sapiens. In turn, extracts some of the energy in the insect and uses bonds of its moiecules. The insect extracts that energy has bound some of the energy of the sun in the chemical eaten by a trout which in turn is eaten by you. The plant of grain suitable for human consumption but fed to input simply by eating the plant ourselves, rather than makes one calorie of person. By skipping the insect and "food chain." A plant is eaten by an insect which is Consider a simple example of what ecologists call a

For this reason, as the world gets hungrier, we will feed lower and lower on the food chains, meat will get more and more expensive, and most of us will become

vegetarians. Meat will not disappear entirely, however. Many semi-arid areas which cannot be irrigated and farmed will support grazing. Similarly, for the foreseeable future, most of the nourishment we extract from the sea will be in the form of meat.

strains of wheat and rice require large amounts of fertiespecially inorganic fertilizers to enrich soils and synat environmental modification. These plans involve the thetic pesticides to discourage our competitors. The new "inputs" so beloved of the agricultural propagandist-Green Revolution, invariably involve large-scale efforts of responsibility or ecological sophistication of persons the provision of irrigation water. There seems to be little pesticides for protection. Growing more food also may pests is unknown; they may also need higher inputs of in order to produce their high yields. Their resistance to lizer and more irrigation water than traditional ones do that the rate of soil deterioration will accelerate as the concerned with increasing agricultural output. I predict hope that we will suddenly have an upsurge in the level worth a close look. other is not often recognized. This relationship is well the one hand and environmental deterioration on the licity, the intimate relationship between pesticides on to increase, especially in UDCs. In spite of much pubuse of synthetic pesticides, already massive, will continue more as things get tough. It is safe to assume that our food crisis intensifies. Ecology will be ignored more and involve the clearing of forests from additional land and Plans for increasing food production, such as the

One of the basic facts of population biology—that branch of biology that deals with groups of organisms—is that the simpler an ecosystem is, the more unstable it is. A complex forest, consisting of a great variety of plants and animals, will persist year in and year out (with no interference from man). The system contains many elements, and changes in different elements often cancel

each other out. Suppose one kind of predator eating mice and rabbits suffers a population decline. For instance, suppose most of the foxes in the forest die of disease? The role of that predator will probably be assumed by another, perhaps weasels or owls. There is no population explosion of mice or rabbits. Such compensation may plant-eating animal (herbivore) feeds on all kinds of plants. So the chance of one kind of herbivore, in a population explosion, completely devouring all the leaves in a mixed woodland is virtually nil.

also those most likely to become genetically resistant to earworms, potato beetles, boll weevils, cabbage butterconsider to be pests are most often herbivores: corn ducing the complexity of ecosystems. Insects which we for instance, are one of man's most potent tools for reand a creator of simple ecosystems. Synthetic pesticides, already resistant. Individuals of these varieties will surcontain the relatively rare genetic varieties which are assault with pesticides. The reason is not complicated lions. Those animals with the largest populations are than the meat-eaters (carnivores) which feed on them. flies, etc. Herbivores ordinarily have larger populations vive and breed, and their offspring will be resistant. The original large populations are just more likely to There are many more deer than there are mountain Man, however, is a simplifier of complex ecosystems

There is a second reason why herbivores are more likely to become genetically resistant to pesticides. For millions of years the plants have been fighting them with their own pesticides. Many of the sharp flavors of spices come from chemicals that plants have evolved to poison or repel the insects which are eating them. The insects in turn, have evolved ways of protecting themselves from the poisons. So the herbivorous insects have been fighting the pesticide war for many millions of years—no wonder they're so good at it.

vores and becomes less stable. Since carnivores can no steins of their own creation. What's more, some of the could just eliminate all the "public enemies" things species are exterminated, and the pests become resistant. with a synthetic pesticide? Some of the carnivorous cancer-producing substances. which to do battle seem to be powerful carcinogensmore potent miticides the chemists have developed with cultural chemical industry in fighting these Frankenyou have it—instant pests, and more profits for the agrithe DDT, and the mites were resistant to DDT. There spiders. The insects which ate the mites were killed by gory many species of mites, little insectlike relatives of Careless overuse of DDT has promoted to "pest" catewould be dandy. In fact, pesticides often create pests. that there is some absolute number of pests—that if we dangerous levels. Ads for insecticides sometimes imply pesticide treatments must be escalated to more and more longer help control the size of the pest population, the The ecosystem is simplified by the removal of the carni-What happens when a complex ecosystem is treated

When man creates simple ecosystems, he automatically creates ecological problems for himself. For instance, he often plants stands of a single grass—wheat fields and corn fields are familiar examples. These lack the complexity necessary for stability and so are subject to almost instant ruination when not guarded constantly. They are particularly vulnerable because very often the natural anti-insect chemicals have been selected out of the crop plant by plant breeders (these chemicals often don't taste good to us, either!).

Pesticides, of course, also reduce the diversity of life in the soil. Remember, soil is not just crushed rock and decaying organic matter. It contains myriads of tiny plants, animals, and microbes, which are essential to its fertility. Damage from pesticides must be added to all of the other sources of soil deterioration active today.

Of all the synthetic organic pesticides, we probably know the most about DDT. It is the oldest and most widely used chlorinated hydrocarbon insecticide. It is not found only where it has been applied. Virtually all populations of animals the world over are contaminated with it. DDT tends to accumulate in fatty tissues. Concentrations in the fat deposits of Americans average 11 parts per million (ppm), and Israelis have been found to have as much as 19.2 ppm. More significant in some ways has been the discovery of DDT residues in such unlikely places as the fat deposits of Eskimos, Antarctic penguins, and Antarctic seals. Seals from the east coast of Scotland have been found with concentrations as high as 23 ppm in their blubber. Pesticide pollution is truly a worldwide problem.

be filled with frantic attempts to feed more and more and similar compounds, especially if those years are to the results of another 25 years of application of DDT only about a quarter of a century. It is difficult to predict obese! We must remember that DDT has been in use for clear that fewer and fewer people in the future will be will lead to DDT poisoning. But, on the bright side, it is must give up diets, since metabolizing their fat deposits man if current trends continue does not seem healthy. is approaching a critical stage now, and the outlook for and the reproductive capacity of some meat-eating birds chain, most of the DDT is retained. The danger to life most of the food energy is lost at each transfer up the food concentrated as it is passed along a food chain. While ecosystems leads to a concentration in carnivores; it is The day may come when the obese people of the world layer of mud.28 Unhappily, the way DDT circulates in revealed up to 32 pounds per acre of DDT in the upper that had been sprayed for 20 years for mosquito control for decades in soils. A study of a Long Island marsh In nature DDT breaks down very slowly. It will last

people, but the harm seems likely to outweigh the benefits more and more as time goes on.

Concern about the effects of our ecologically incompetent use of synthetic pesticides has been widespread for years, and many environmental biologists have spoken out in warning. Perhaps the most famous was Rachel Carson, whose splendid Silent Spring became a best seller. I would also highly recommend Robert L. Rudd's more technical Pesticides and the Living Landscape and Frank Graham's Since Silent Spring,²⁴ which covers more recent events. But those financially involved in the massive production and application of pesticides seem to have only one reaction. They and their hired hands among entomologists heap ridicule and abuse upon the ecologists.

shorter life expectancies than they would if DDT had of DDT in corpses.28 Individuals born since 1945, and stress diseases and higher than average concentrations ship between deaths due to certain liver diseases and not extreme. It is also true that many people have lec is probably true that the direct and immediate threat to school which provide ammunition to the pesticide inextremists and the "no-pesticide-ever-for-any-reason" reach their forties and fifties. Since the experiment is never existed. We won't know until the first of these term has passed. Recent studies have shown a relationopen, however. They are difficult to judge until the long longer, healthier lives because of pesticides—as in Ceyhuman health in present-day use of synthetic pesticides is dustry, but that doesn't change the facts of the case. It exactly how much difference it has made. thus exposed to DDT since before birth, may well have lon. The question of long-term effects on health remains being run on the entire world, we may never know Unfortunately, of course, there are some dietary

Present-day practices can be condemned on several

other counts. First of all, they are often basically uneconomical, locking the farmer and other users into expensive programs that could be avoided by using ecologically more sophisticated control methods and by reeducating the public. For instance, housewives should be taught to accept certain levels of insect damage in their produce in lieu of the small dose of poison they now get. Secondly, and by far most importantly, there are the simplifying effects on ecosystems discussed above, effects which in many cases may now be irreversible.

One could go on with pesticide horror stories galore. The scientific literature is replete with them. There are stories of dying birds, of mosquito fishes resistant to endrin (a potent insecticide) and excreting so much of the chemical that they kill nonresistant fishes kept in the same aquarium. It is a record of ecological stupidity without parallel.

the Utah supply is no use to the British since brine shrimp habitat are now subdivisions. Unfortunately, the aquarists, and because large areas of suitable brine obtained from the Bay Area because of the demands of Utah. Sufficient eggs for the project can no longer be fish fanciers for use in feeding young tropical fishes. The commercially in the United States and sold to tropical for the kind of fishes that will be raised. These may newly hatched brine shrimp serve as a satisfactory food be "planted" in the ponds. It has been discovered that raise the young fishes in the laboratory so that they can fishes. One of the problems has been to find ways to in western Scotland and use them as ponds for raising subtle the effects may be. Professor L. B. Slobodkin²⁶ Francisco Bay Area and the Great Salt Lake Basin in American supplies come from two places-the San be obtained from brine shrimp eggs that are gathered has described a plan to block the seaward ends of lochs One specific episode will illustrate how complex and

shrimp hatched from Utah eggs kill their young fishes. The poisonous quality of the Utah shrimp comes from insecticide residues draining from farmlands in the region. So insecticide pollution in Utah is blocking fish production in Scotland!

cycles of nitrogen and other essential elements. I do not production by photosynthesis occurs in the ocean and is warned, "It is true that 70% or more of the total oxygen of general environmental pollution. Professor Cole²⁷ matter, to the equally vital forms of life involved in the been tested for toxicity to marine diatoms, or, for that before had to try to adapt. No more than a minute fracdetergents, to which the Earth's living forms have never active materials, such as pesticides, radioisotopes, and pollutants consisting, according to one estimate by the largely produced by planktonic diatoms. It is also true disaster upon ourselves." are not poisoning the marine diatoms and thus bringing think we are in a position to assert right now that we half-million substances. Many of these are biologically U. S. Food and Drug Administration, of as many as a that we are dumping into the oceans vast quantities of tion of these substances and combinations of them has Finally, pesticides contribute to the serious problems

Since Cole wrote these words, an article in *Science* magazine²⁸ has described reduced photosynthesis in laboratory studies of marine diatoms exposed to DDT. We are, of course, removing many terrestrial areas from oxygen production by paving them. We are also depleting the world's supply of oxygen by burning (oxidizing) vast quantities of fossil fuels and by clearing iron-rich tropical soils in which the iron is then oxidized. When the rate of oxygen consumption exceeds the rate at which it is produced, then the oxygen content of the atmosphere will decrease. As Cole says, "If this [decrease] occurred gradually, its effect would be approximately the same as moving everyone to higher altitudes, a change

ing death rates." that might help to alleviate the population crisis by rais-

some kinds of planktonic plants more than others. This about running out of oxygen. For example, DDT affects ecological catastrophes accompanying poisoning of the occur, but probably very slowly. I suspect that other tically reduce photosynthesis, oxygen depletion will already accumulated in the atmosphere. If we drasequivalent to only a tiny fraction of the mass of oxygen tion of the Earth produces a yearly quantity of oxygen munities which are the basic source of energy for marine could lead to large changes in the plant plankton comkind's extinction long before we have to start worrying sea and clearing plants from the land would lead to manmost obvious effect of poisoning the tiny plants of life. The results for our fisheries could be catastrophic Therefore food depletion probably would be the first and However, photosynthesis by the present plant popula-

filth that is dignified with the label "air" in places like bear did not survive to pass on his genes for a dullwho did not immediately notice the appearance of a cave days, but over decades. It was important for early man changes that take place slowly, not in minutes, hours, or paid much attention. Sadly, man's evolution did not pro-But clean air gradually changed to smog, and nobody been tolerated by citizens of those cities 50 years ago Los Angeles, St. Louis, and New York would not have factors in the pollution of our planet. The mixture of know very well that pesticides are just one of many witted nervous system. Large animals charging, rock vide him with a nervous system that readily detect of short-range changes that our ancestors had to react health and beauty are concerned. But, once again, the If you live in one of our great metropolitan areas, you

changes with difficulty. decades. We perceive sudden changes readily, slow deal with environmental changes that occurred over only lived an average of perhaps 20 years to learn to to. But the world of 276,824 B.C. was much like that of 276,804 B.C. There was little reason for a creature that

and his nonhuman ancestors to be able to detect rather disease and tuberculosis, not as a cause but as a consudden changes in their environments. The cavemar tributor to higher death rates. In addition to this disease falling, children crying, fires starting-these are the son tion problem, at least as far as its direct challenges to roughly four times as much emphysema—an extremely a real measure of rational control over these substances attract the attention of those who pooh-pooh environthus attracted attention. Corpses usually are required to threat there is also the strong suspicion that occurrence unpleasant disease that suffocates its victims-among relatively smog-free Winnipeg, Canada. There was only a pesticide disaster of large magnitude will produce mental threats-indeed many of my colleagues feel that came on gradually, and man, adaptable organism that he the air. People now are generally aware of the air polluof certain cancers is associated with specific pollutants in Pollution also may be linked with certain kinds of heari the last decade, especially among urban populations physema and lung cancer have risen spectacularly over the group from St. Louis. Death rates from both emfrom smoggy St. Louis with cigarette smokers from For instance, pollution and respiratory disease has been established the current record. Since then a clear link between air vania, and London, England, produced dead bodies and hazard. Smog disasters years ago in Donora, Pennsylto smog when it presented itself as a direct health is, learned to live with it. We first paid serious attention people would have fled gibbering into the hills. But it The 1952 London incident was blamed for 4,000 deaths. If the smog had appeared in Los Angeles overnight, doctors compared cigarette smokers

subtle and much more important ecological threats usually remain unrecognized.

One such threat, of course, comes from the killing of plants, many of which have little resistance to smog. Remember, every plant that goes is one less contributor to our food and oxygen supplies. But even more important is the potential for changing the climate of the Earth. All of the junk we dump into the atmosphere, all of the dust, all of the carbon dioxide, have effects on the temperature balance of the Earth. Air pollution affects how much of the sun's heat reaches the surface of the Earth and how much is radiated back into space. And it is just this temperature balance that we call "the weather."

slowly. A lid of ice crystals gradually will be deposited high in the stratosphere, where they will break up very no one. But ecologists, as usual, have been looking at the in the country out of their skulls while benefiting almost the "sonic booms" generated will drive half the people people have been opposing this project on the basis that creased by the prospect of supersonic transports. Most high in the atmosphere, which might add to the "greenless obvious. Supersonic transports will leave contrails the sun's rays which they reflect back into space. One produce a greater cooling than heating effect because of radiating back into space). On the other hand, they may house effect" (prevention of the heat of the Earth from that tend to keep the energy of the sun from warming house effect today is being countered by low-level clouds atmosphere. In the last one hundred years our burning by the greatly increased level of carbon dioxide in the "neutral." The greenhouse effect is being enhanced now way or another, you can bet their effect will not be generated by contrails, dust, and other contaminant of fossil fuels raised the level some 15%. The green-Concern about this problem has been greatly in-

At the moment we cannot predict what the overall climatic results will be of our using the atmosphere as a garbage dump. We do know that very small changes in either direction in the average temperature of the Earth could be very serious. With a few degrees of cooling, a new ice age might be upon us, with rapid and drastic effects on the agricultural productivity of the temperate regions. With a few degrees of heating, the Greenland and Antarctic ice caps would melt, perhaps raising ocean levels 250 feet. Gondola to the Empire State Building, anyone?

In short, when we pollute, we tamper with the energy balance of the Earth. The results in terms of global climate and in terms of local weather could be catastrophic. Do we want to keep it up and find out what will happen? What do we gain by playing "environmental roulette"?

No one in his right mind would eat a Lake Erie fish fish. In that year 75 million pounds of fish were taken the lake supported commercial fishing for high-quality tank than the beautiful lake it once was. The snakes are water is a stinking mess-more reminiscent of a septic clean, oxygen-rich water. Much of this shallow body of problem, studying natural selection in water snakes me at Stanford. We reminisced over some extremely research associate with Dr. Joseph H. Camin, then of the almost gone, as are the fishes on which they fed. In 1955 The lake can no longer support organisms which require much interested in continuing the research today. But pleasant times we had had working together on a field all we can do is reminisce. You see, Lake Erie has died which lived on islands in the western end of Lake Erie. Ten years later, Joe Camin spent a sabbatical leave with Chicago Academy of Sciences. That was in 1957-1958 The problem was fascinating, and we would be very My first job after I got my doctorate was working as a

sea those dangerous compounds discussed above. plow and too thick to drink" stage-and carrying to the world's rivers are quickly approaching the "too thin to States and around the world. Lake Michigan will soon of pollution of lakes, rivers, and streams in the United life from a fate similar to that of Lake Erie. Many of the ists to save Lake Baikal and its unique plant and animal described the reduced chances of Russian conservationfollow it in extinction. A recent New York Times article Lake Erie is just one example of a general problem

also common in Roman life in the form of paints, and obvious and unpleasant taste and symptoms of copper wine storage vessels with lead. They thus avoided the out, the Romans lined their bronze cooking, eating, and overexposure to lead was a factor in the decline of the chronic lead poisoning-weakness, apathy, lowered our environment from ethyl gasoline and pesticides, and more leery of the "corpses before we recognize the probfar less lead. This little horror study should make us all from lead-lined containers, and thus may have picked up cause of the famous decadence of Roman leadership. period shows high concentrations of lead--possibly one of the bones of upper-class Romans of the classical lead pipes often were used to carry water. Examination more subtle poisoning associated with lead. Lead was poisoning. They traded them for the pleasant flavor and Roman Empire. As Dr. S. C. Gilfillian²⁹ has pointed fertility, miscarriage, etc. It is a sobering thought that proaching the levels necessary to produce symptoms of bodies of Americans. In some instances these are apmuch concerned with the quantities of lead found in the paints and food-can solder. Some scientists are very ited to air or water. We are constantly adding lead to lem" school of thought. Chronic low-level effects can be it is present also in many common substances such as The lower classes lived more simply, drank less wine Finally, let me mention a pollution problem not lim-

> ban on such gasoline is badly needed. amount of leaded gasoline used. A virtually complete reduce the lead intake of Americans by reducing the critical, too. Recently there have been some moves to

THE PROBLEM 41

pulp mills, hospitals, and laboratories. several countries. Other sources of mercury pollution are grain is often treated with mercury fungicides, which resulted in the poisoning of an entire family in New which are used in the manufacture of plastics). Seed is the process for producing chlorine (large amounts of industrial wastes. The major source of mercury pollution are very poisonous and both enter the environment as Mexico in 1969. Similar accidents have been reported in hazards, notably mercury and cadmium. Both metals Other heavy metals are turning up as environmental

fish sold for food in the U.S. Both fish come from the ceptable levels have been found in tuna fish and sword-DDT. Recently, concentrations well above FDA acduce photosynthesis in planktonic plants,80 as does turies. Mercury is, of course, poisonous to other organadding methyl mercury to local food chains for cenenough is stored on the bottom in some areas to keep isms as well as human beings. It has been found to re-Even if no more mercury is discharged into these waters, mercury. Methyl mercury easily enters food chains. microorganisms to the dangerous organic form, methyl around North American rivers and lakes and in other accumulated, which are gradually being converted by polluted waterways, large quantities of mercury have ODCs where tests have been made. In these heavily been found in numerous kinds of fish and wildlife in and detrimental effects. High concentrations of mercury have over, as with lead, low-level chronic doses may well have symptoms of poisoning has not been determined. Moredamage. Exactly how much mercury will produce overt the latter being somewhat more toxic, resulting in brain Mercury occurs in both inorganic and organic forms,

open sea; it appears that mercury is another worldwide pollution problem. How serious it is we are only beginning to discover.

Obviously, the use of mercury in industrial processes and in seed preservatives should be stopped wherever possible. In situations where it can't be replaced by something else, it should not be allowed to escape into the environment. If it becomes feasible, every effort should be made to remove or inactivate the accumulated mercury from freshwater systems.

Deterioration of our environment clearly holds threats for our physical well-being, present and future. What about our mental health? Does the deterioration threaten it, too? Are we living in a deteriorating "psychic environment"? Riots, rising crime rates, disaffection of youth, and increased drug usage seem to indicate that we are. Unfortunately, we can't even be sure how much of the reaction of an individual to the deterioration of his environment is hereditarily conditioned, or how much is a product of his culture. At least three biologists, H. H. Iltis, P. Andrews, and O. L. Loucks⁸¹ feel that nature as well as nurture may be very important, that mankind's genetic endowment has been shaped by evolution to require "natural" surroundings for optimum mental health. These biologists write:

"Unique as we may think we are, we are nevertheless as likely to be genetically programed to a natural habitat of clean air and a varied green landscape as any other mammal. To be relaxed and feel healthy usually means simply allowing our bodies to react in the way for which one hundred millions of years of evolution has equipped us. Physically and genetically, we appear best adapted to a tropical savanna, but as a cultural animal we utilize learned adaptations to cities and towns. For thousands of years we have tried in our houses to imitate not only the climate, but the setting of our evolutionary past: warm, humid air, green plants, and even

animal companions. Today, if we can afford it, we may even build a greenhouse or swimming pool next to our living room, buy a place in the country, or at least take our children vacationing on the seashore. The specific physiological reactions to natural beauty and diversity, to the shapes and colors of nature (especially to green), to the motions and sounds of other animals, such as birds, we as yet do not comprehend. But it is evident that nature in our daily life should be thought of as a part of the biological need. It cannot be neglected in the discussions of resource policy for man."

clearly don't give a damn. They've never heard of the powerful reasons. The first, of course, is that nothing all the beautiful pictures, the conservation battle is presgrizzly bears, or the Carolina parakeet. I haven't writextinct. On the contrary, many Americans would comlation explosion. The second is that most Americans "undeveloped" can long stand in the face of the popu-I've come to the conclusion that it is being lost for two ently being lost. In my years of interest in this question reason is simple. In spite of all the efforts of conservaon things that seem to bear most directly on man. The glory of many natural areas. Instead I have concentrated moths, or the great herds of bison, or the California extinct, or the California condors, soon to join them. California condor and would shed no tears it it became tionists, all the propaganda, all the eloquent writing, ten about them, or of the pleasantness, beauty, indeed No tears for them, or for the great auk, or the mam-I've shed no tears here for the passenger pigeons, now mowed down by our progressive lumbering industry). trucked around the State of California for all to see pleas of conservationists. I haven't discussed the rumor ment has not dwelt on the themes that characterize the (permitting all the other "useless" redwoods to be that a giant vinyl redwood tree will be constructed and You will note that my discussion of man's environ-

pete for the privilege of shooting the last one. Our population consists of two groups; a comparatively small one dedicated to the preservation of beauty and wild-life, and a vastly larger one dedicated to the destruction of both (or at least apathetic toward them). I am assuming that the first group is with me and that the second cannot be moved to action by an appeal to beauty, or a plea for mercy for what may well be our only living companions in a vast universe.

I have just scratched the surface of the problem of environmental deterioration, but I hope that I have at least convinced you that subtle ecological effects may be much more important than obvious "pollution." The causal chain of the deterioration is easily followed to its source. Too many cars, too many factories, too much detergent, too much pesticide, multiplying contrails, inadequate sewage treatment plants, too little water, too much carbon dioxide—all can be traced easily to too many people.

Of course, a smaller population could eventually destroy the ability of the planet to support sizable numbers of human beings. This could occur through the profligate use of weapons as diverse as chlorinated hydrocarbon insecticides or thermonuclear bombs. But with a human population of, say, one-half billion people, some minor changes in technology and some major changes in the rate of use and equity of distribution of the world's resources, there would clearly be no environmental crisis. Equally, regardless of changes in technology or resource consumption and distribution, current rates of population growth guarantee an environmental crisis which will persist until the final collapse.³²

Chapter 2 THE ENDS OF THE ROAD

Too many people—that is why we are on the verge of the "death rate solution." Let's look briefly at what form that solution might take. The agencies most likely to result in a drastic rise in the death rate in the next few decades are exactly those most actively operating in pre-explosion human populations. They are three of the four apocalyptic horsemen—war, pestilence, and famine. Rapid improvement in public health, advances in agriculture, and improved transport systems have temporarily reduced the efficacy of pestilence and famine as population regulators. Improved technology has, on the other hand, greatly increased the potential of war as a population control device. Indeed, it has given us the means for self-extermination.

It now seems inevitable that death through starvation will be at least one factor in the coming increase in the death rate. If we succeed in avoiding plague or war, it may be the major factor. It is all too easy, however, for a layman to discount the potential for population control possessed today by plague. It is true that medical science has made tremendous advances against communicable diseases, but that does not mean that these diseases may now be ignored. As population density increases, so does the per capita shortage of medical personnel, so do problems of sanitation, and so do